

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/656,687

Applicant(s)THOMPSON, JAMES
ALFRED**Examiner**

Junior Mendoza

Art Unit

2423

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Junior Mendoza(3) Aly Dossa(2) Andrew Koenig(4) James Thompson and Michael McMillan

Date of Interview: 05 May 2010

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Demonstrated remote access device along with the powerpoint presentations.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Kamiya of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed the invention with respect to the 1.132 declarations and discussed their position regarding the Long-Felt Need and Failure of Others. The examiners discussed their position. The applicant will file a formal reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Y Koenig/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423